

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,513	01/03/2002	Kwang Chun Chung	2316-012216	6001
. 28289	7590 02/23/2005		EXAM	INER
WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C.			LE, DANH C	
700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2683		
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/038,513	CHUNG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DANH C LE	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 February 2005</u> .						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No.´ ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail (5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the communication on 02/04/05, which replaced the Non Final Rejection on date 9/8/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lathrop (US 6,320,960).

As to claim 1, Lathrop teaches an over-the-ear type headset (figure 1) comprising:

a headset body containing an earphone and a microphone (col.3, lines 17-20); and

a hanger coupled to the headset body, which is adapted to be bent along a back of a user's ear (col.4, lines 25-39).

As to claim 2, Lathrop teaches the over-the-ear type headset as set forth in claim further comprising an electrical wire connected to the earphone and the microphone for allowing audio signals be transmitted to and from the earphone and the microphone (col.4, lines 16-24).

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 5, Lathrop teaches the over-the-ear type headset as set forth in claim 1, in which the headset body comprises a housing containing the earphone (20) at an upper part and microphone at a lower the part (50).

As to claim 6, Lathrop teaches the over-the-ear type headset as set forth in claim 5 which the housing is provided with a bulging portion at an inner surface corresponding an ear hole of user's ear (col.5, lines 23-36, a raise surface 385 forming a compressible cavity between the flat cover and the raised surface 385).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. <u>Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over</u> Lathrop in view of Lear (US 5,042,933).

As to claim 3, Lathrop teaches the over-the-ear type headset as set forth in claim 1, Lathrop fails further teaches comprising an end-cap coupled to an end of the hanger and having bulging portion which is radially enlarged. Lear teaches an end-cap coupled to an end of the hanger and having bulging portion, which is radially enlarged (col.4, line 52-col.5, line 10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Lear into the system of Lathrop in order to snugly secure the headset to any size ear lobe as Lear suggested (col.4, line 52-col.5, line 10).

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 4, the combination of Lathrop and Lear teaches the over-the-ear type headset as set forth in claim 3 in which the bulging portion is provided only at an area of the end-cap which faces toward a user's head when the hanger is bent along a back of a user's ear (Lear, col.4, line 52-col.5, line 10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Lear into the system of Lathrop in order to snugly secure the headset to any size ear lobe as Lear suggested (col.4, line 52-col.5, line 10).

3. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lathrop in view of Pallai (US 2001/0036291).

As to claim 7, Lathrop teaches the over-the-ear type headset as set forth in claim 1, in which the hanger comprises a core having an internal passage. Lathrop fails to teach further comprising of an iron wire wound into a spiral spring shape, a filler wound on core to be positioned in a groove between adjacent loops of the wire, and a sheath surrounding the core and the filler. Pallai teaches a steel wire wound into a spiral spring shape, a filler wound on core to be positioned in a groove between adjacent loops of the wire, and a sheath surrounding the core and the filler (paragraph 0032-0034). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Pallai into the system of Lathrop in order to maintain the bending position of hanger.

As to claim 8, the combination of Lathrop and Pallai further teaches the over-theear type headset as set forth in claim 7 in which the sheath is made from polyethylene (Pallai, paragraph 0034).

Art Unit: 2683

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C LE whose telephone number is 703-306-0542. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Danh C.Le

DANH CONS L PATENT EXAMINER Page 5